

CESNET CA Basic Level Certificate Policy

Version 1.1

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	7
1.1. Overview	7
1.2. Identification	7
1.2.1. Certificate Policy Name	7
1.2.2. Object Identifiers	7
1.3. Community and Applicability	8
1.3.1. Certification authorities	8
1.3.2. Registration authorities	8
1.3.3. End entities	8
1.3.4. Applicability	8
1.4. Contact Details	8
1.4.1. Specification administration organization	8
1.4.2. Contact person	8
1.4.3. Person determining CPS suitability for the policy	9
2. GENERAL PROVISIONS	10
2.1. Obligations	10
2.1.1. CA obligations	10
2.1.2. RA obligations	10
2.1.3. Subscriber obligations	11
2.1.4. Relying party obligations	11
2.1.5. Repository obligations	11
2.2. Liability	11
2.2.1. CA liability	11
2.2.2. RA liability	11
2.3. Financial responsibility	12
2.3.1. Indemnification by relying parties	12
2.3.2. Fiduciary relationships	12
2.3.3. Administrative processes	12
2.4. Interpretation and Enforcement	12
2.4.1. Governing law	12
2.4.2. Severability, survival, merger, notice	12
2.4.3. Dispute resolution procedures	12
2.5. Fees	12
2.5.1. Certificate issuance or renewal fees	12
2.5.2. Certificate access fees	12
2.5.3. Revocation or status information access fees	13
2.5.4. Fees for other services such as policy information	13
2.5.5. Refund policy	13
2.6. Publication and Repository	13
2.6.1. Publication of CA information	13
2.6.2. Frequency of publication	13
2.6.3. Access controls	13
2.6.4. Repositories	13
2.7. Compliance audit	13
2.7.1. Frequency of entity compliance audit	14

2.7.2. Identity/qualifications of auditor	14
2.7.3. Auditor’s relationship to audited party	14
2.7.4. Topics covered by audit	14
2.7.5. Actions taken as a result of deficiency	14
2.7.6. Communication of results.....	14
2.8. Confidentiality.....	14
2.8.1. Types of information to be kept confidential.....	14
2.8.2. Types of information not considered confidential	14
2.8.3. Disclosure of certificate revocation/suspension information.....	14
2.8.4. Release to law enforcement officials	14
2.8.5. Release as part of civil discovery	15
2.8.6. Disclosure upon owner’s request.....	15
2.8.7. Other information release circumstances	15
2.9. Intellectual Property Rights	15
3. IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION	16
3.1. Initial Registration.....	16
3.1.1. Types of names	16
3.1.2. Need for names to be meaningful.....	16
3.1.3. Rules for interpreting various name forms	16
3.1.4. Uniqueness of names.....	16
3.1.5. Name claim dispute resolution procedure	16
3.1.6. Recognition, authentication and role of trademarks.....	17
3.1.7. Method to prove possession of private key.....	17
3.1.8. Authentication of organization identity	17
3.1.9. Authentication of individual identity.....	17
3.2. Routine Rekey	17
3.3. Rekey after Revocation	17
3.4. Revocation Request.....	17
4. OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS	19
4.1. Certificate Application	19
4.2. Certificate Issuance	19
4.3. Certificate Acceptance	19
4.4. Certificate Suspension and Revocation	19
4.4.1. Circumstances for revocation	19
4.4.2. Who can request revocation.....	19
4.4.3. Procedure for revocation request.....	19
4.4.4. Revocation request grace period.....	20
4.4.5. Circumstances for suspension	20
4.4.6. Who can request suspension.....	20
4.4.7. Procedure for suspension request	20
4.4.8. Limits on suspension period.....	20
4.4.9. CRL issuance frequency (if applicable)	20
4.4.10. CRL checking requirements.....	20
4.4.11. On-line revocation/status checking availability.....	21
4.4.12. On-line revocation checking requirements.....	21
4.4.13. Other forms of revocation advertisements available	21
4.4.14. Checking requirements for other forms of revocation advertisements.....	21

4.4.15. Special requirements re key compromise	21
4.5. Security Audit Procedures	21
4.5.1. Types of event recorded	21
4.5.2. Frequency of processing log	21
4.5.3. Retention period for audit log	21
4.5.4. Protection of audit log	21
4.5.5. Audit log backup procedures	22
4.5.6. Audit collection system (internal vs external)	22
4.5.7. Notification to event-causing subject	22
4.5.8. Vulnerability assessments	22
4.6. Records Archival	22
4.6.1. Types of event recorded	22
4.6.2. Retention period for archive	22
4.6.3. Protection of archive	23
4.6.4. Archive backup procedures	23
4.6.5. Requirements for time-stamping of records	23
4.6.6. Archive collection system (internal or external)	23
4.6.7. Procedures to obtain and verify archive information	23
4.7. Key changeover	23
4.8. Compromise and Disaster Recovery	23
4.8.1. Computing resources, software, and/or data are corrupted	23
4.8.2. Entity public key is revoked	24
4.8.3. Entity key is compromised	24
4.8.4. Secure facility after a natural or other type of disaster	24
4.9. CA Termination	24
5. PHYSICAL, PROCEDURAL, AND PERSONNEL SECURITY CONTROLS	25
5.1. Physical Controls	25
5.1.1. Site location and construction	25
5.1.2. Physical access	25
5.1.3. Power and air conditioning	25
5.1.4. Water exposures	25
5.1.5. Fire prevention and protection	25
5.1.6. Media storage	25
5.1.7. Waste disposal	25
5.1.8. Off-site backup	25
5.2. Procedural Controls	25
5.2.1. Trusted roles	26
5.2.2. Number of persons required per task	26
5.2.3. Identification and authentication for each role	26
5.3. Personnel Controls	26
5.3.1. Background, qualifications, experience, and clearance requirements	26
5.3.2. Background check procedures	26
5.3.3. Training requirements	26
5.3.4. Retraining frequency and requirements	26
5.3.5. Job rotation frequency and sequence	26
5.3.6. Sanctions for unauthorized actions	26
5.3.7. Contracting personnel requirements	27

5.3.8. Documentation supplied to personnel	27
6. TECHNICAL SECURITY CONTROLS	28
6.1. Key Pair Generation and Installation	28
6.1.1. Key pair generation	28
6.1.2. Private key delivery to entity	28
6.1.3. Public key delivery to certificate issuer	28
6.1.4. CA public key delivery to users.....	28
6.1.5. Key sizes.....	28
6.1.6. Public key parameters generation.....	28
6.1.7. Parameter quality checking	28
6.1.8. Hardware/software key generation	28
6.1.9. Key usage purposes (as per X.509 v3 key usage field)	29
6.2. Private Key Protection.....	29
6.2.1. Standards for cryptographic module	30
6.2.2. Private key (n out of m) multi-person control	30
6.2.3. Private key escrow	30
6.2.4. Private key backup.....	30
6.2.5. Private key archival.....	30
6.2.6. Private key entry into cryptographic module.....	30
6.2.7. Method of activating private key	30
6.2.8. Method of deactivating private key	30
6.2.9. Method of destroying private key	31
6.3. Other Aspects of Key Pair Management.....	31
6.3.1. Public key archival.....	31
6.3.2. Usage periods for the public and private keys.....	31
6.4. Activation Data	31
6.4.1. Activation data generation and installation	31
6.4.2. Activation data protection.....	31
6.4.3. Other aspects of activation data.....	31
6.5. Computer Security Controls.....	32
6.5.1. Specific computer security technical requirements	32
6.5.2. Computer security rating	32
6.6. Life Cycle Technical Controls	32
6.6.1. System development controls	32
6.6.2. Security management controls	32
6.6.3. Life cycle security ratings.....	32
6.7. Network Security Controls.....	32
6.8. Cryptographic Module Engineering Controls.....	32
7. CERTIFICATE AND CRL PROFILES	33
7.1. Certificate Profile	33
7.1.1. Version number(s)	33
7.1.2. Certificate extensions.....	33
7.1.3. Algorithm object identifiers.....	33
7.1.4. Name forms	33
7.1.5. Name constraints	33
7.1.6. Certificate policy Object Identifier	33
7.1.7. Usage of Policy Constraints extension	34

7.1.8. Policy qualifiers syntax and semantics	34
7.1.9. Processing semantics for the critical certificate policy extension	34
7.2. CRL Profile	34
7.2.1. Version number(s)	34
7.2.2. CRL and CRL entry extensions	34
8. SPECIFICATION ADMINISTRATION	35
8.1. Specification change procedures	35
8.2. Publication and notification policies	35
8.3. CPS approval procedures	35
Glossary	36
A. Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels	38
Bibliography	39

1. INTRODUCTION

This Certificate Policy defines the Basic Level certificate policy for use by the conforming CAs when issuing public key certificates.

This document is consistent with [RFC 2527](#). Therefore there are some sections that are maintained for compatibility, although they do not apply exactly to the services required by this CP. [Glossary](#) provides a glossary of terms used in this document.

Within this document the words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, “OPTIONAL” are to be interpreted as in [RFC 2119](#). (See [Appendix A](#)).

In this document the expression “conforming CA” is used to indicate a CA whose behavior is conforming to the set of provisions specified in this document.

1.1. Overview

This CP describes the requirements which MUST be met by a conforming CA in issuing digital certificates.

This CP MAY be used by a relying party to determine the level of trust associated with this policy. An X.509 Version 3 certificate issued by a conforming CA SHOULD contain a reference to this certificate policy.

More detailed information about the practices which a conforming CA employs in its operations in issuing certificates can be found in its Certification Practice Statements (CPS).

1.2. Identification

1.2.1. Certificate Policy Name

CESNETCABasicCertificatePolicyv1:1

1.2.2. Object Identifiers

This certificate policy is identified by the following unique registered Object Identifier (OID):

1.3.6.1.4.1.8057.1.2.2.1.1

ISO assigned	1
US Department of Defense	6
Internet	1
IANA registered private enterprises	1
CESNET	8057
PKI	1
Certificate Policies	2
Basic Level Certificate Policy	2

Major version	1
Minor version	1

1.3. Community and Applicability

Conforming CAs can choose freely which are the community and applicability of their issued certificates but it **MUST** clearly specify them in its own CPS.

1.3.1. Certification authorities

Conforming CAs **MUST** operate in full conformance with this CP.

1.3.2. Registration authorities

Registration Authorities (RA) are needed for physical identification/authentication of entities. These authorities **MUST** not be permitted to issue certificates. The RA **MUST** sign an agreement with the certifying CA, stating the obligation to adhere to the agreed procedures as identified in the CA's CPS.

The conforming CA **MAY** manage the functions of its Registration Authority.

1.3.3. End entities

The targeted end entities can be a natural person (individual or representing an organization) or a computer entity (e.g. a computer, a router or an application), capable of performing cryptographic operations.

Each conforming CA **MUST** detail in the CPS who are the end entities that it is willing to certify.

1.3.4. Applicability

Certificates issued by a conforming CA **MUST NOT** be used for financial transactions.

1.4. Contact Details

1.4.1. Specification administration organization

This CP is maintained by CESNET a.l.e. (<http://www.cesnet.cz/>).

1.4.2. Contact person

All questions and comments concerning this CP must be addressed to:

CESNET CA
CESNET a.l.e.
Zikova 4
Prague
160 00
Czech Republic

Email: <ca@cesnet.cz>

URI: <http://www.cesnet.cz/pki/>

1.4.3. Person determining CPS suitability for the policy

See [Section 1.4.2](#)

2. GENERAL PROVISIONS

This chapter describes obligations for relevant parties and makes statements on liability and financial/economical issues. Moreover there is a section about confidentiality that classifies information into confidential information and publicly available and distributable information. Auditing statements are also located here.

2.1. Obligations

2.1.1. CA obligations

A conforming CA SHALL operate a certificate authority service. The conforming CA is responsible for all aspects of the issuance and management of a certificate referencing this policy, including:

- Development of a detailed statement of practices and procedures (the CPS) by which the CA implements the requirements of this policy.
- Publication of CA contact information.
- Certificate application/enrollment process.
- Verification of the identity of the applicant.
- Certificate creation process.
- Posting of the certificate in a public repository.
- Suspension and revocation of the certificate.
- Certificate renewals.
- Ensuring that all aspects of the CA services and CA operations and CA infrastructure related to certificates issued under this policy are performed in accordance with the requirements, representations, and warranties of this policy.
- Define and publish a dispute resolution procedure.

By issuing a certificate that references this policy, the CA certifies to the subscriber, and to all relying parties who reasonably and in good faith rely on the information contained in the certificate during its operational period, that:

- The CA has issued, and will manage, the certificate in accordance with this policy.
- There are no misrepresentations of fact in the certificate known to the CA, and the CA has taken reasonable steps to verify additional information in the certificate unless otherwise noted in its CPS.
- The certificate meets all material requirements of this policy and the CA's CPS.

2.1.2. RA obligations

An RA is obliged to operate RA service. This includes:

- Authenticating the identity of the subject
- Validating the connection between a public key and the requester identity including a suitable proof of possession method of the corresponding private key
- Confirming such validation versus the CA
- Adhere to the agreement made with the CA

2.1.3. Subscriber obligations

Subscribers **MUST** accurately represent the information required of them in a certificate request.

Subscribers **MUST** properly protect their private key at all times, against loss, disclosure to any other party, modification and unauthorized use, in accordance with this CP and the CPS. From the creation of their private and public key pair, subscribers are personally and solely responsible of the confidentiality and integrity of their private keys. Every usage of their private key is assumed to be the act of its owner.

Upon suspicion that their private keys are compromised subscribers **MUST** notify the CA that issued their certificates by sending a certificate revocation request.

Upon any change of information in their certificates subscribers **MUST** notify the CA that issued their certificates by sending a certificate revocation request.

Subscribers **MUST** use the keys and certificates only for the purposes authorized by the CA.

Subscribers **MUST** authorize the treatment and conservation of their personal data.

2.1.4. Relying party obligations

A relying party **MUST** be familiar with the CPS and this CP before drawing any conclusion on how much trust he can put in the use of a certificate issued from the CA.

The relying party **MUST** only use the certificate for the proscribed applications and **MUST NOT** use the certificates for forbidden applications.

Relying parties **MUST** verify the digital signature of a received digitally signed message and to verify the digital signature of the CA who issued the certificate used for the verification purpose.

When validating a certificate a relying party **MUST** check it for its validity, revocation, or suspension.

2.1.5. Repository obligations

A conforming CA **SHALL** use a publicly accessible repository to store certificates and Certificate Revocation Lists (CRLs). The repository **SHALL** be available as much as practically possible.

2.2. Liability

2.2.1. CA liability

A conforming CA **MAY** accept liability. The complete list of accepted liabilities **MUST** be specified in the CPS.

2.2.2. RA liability

See [Section 2.2.1.](#)

2.3. Financial responsibility

No financial responsibility is accepted for certificates issued under this CP.

2.3.1. Indemnification by relying parties

No stipulation.

2.3.2. Fiduciary relationships

No stipulation.

2.3.3. Administrative processes

No stipulation.

2.4. Interpretation and Enforcement

2.4.1. Governing law

This CP is governed by the law of the Czech Republic.

2.4.2. Severability, survival, merger, notice

No stipulation.

2.4.3. Dispute resolution procedures

No stipulation

2.5. Fees

2.5.1. Certificate issuance or renewal fees

No fees SHOULD be charged for issuing certificates.

2.5.2. Certificate access fees

Access to certificates SHOULD be free of charge.

2.5.3. Revocation or status information access fees

Access to Certificate Revocation Lists SHOULD be free of charge.

2.5.4. Fees for other services such as policy information

No fees SHOULD be charged for allowing policy and CPS information access.

2.5.5. Refund policy

No stipulation.

2.6. Publication and Repository

2.6.1. Publication of CA information

A conforming CA MUST make publicly available, in its repositories:

1. The Certificate Practice Statement it operates according to.
2. This Certificate Policy.
3. All issued certificates including CA-certificates.
4. Signed Certificate Revocation Lists.

2.6.2. Frequency of publication

The certificates issued SHALL be published as soon as they are issued.

The CRLs SHALL be published in accordance with [Section 4.4.9](#).

CP and CPS SHALL be published as soon as they are updated.

2.6.3. Access controls

The CP, CPS, CRLs, and the certificates issued SHOULD be publicly available with no access control.

2.6.4. Repositories

No stipulation.

2.7. Compliance audit

2.7.1. Frequency of entity compliance audit

No stipulation.

2.7.2. Identity/qualifications of auditor

No stipulation.

2.7.3. Auditor's relationship to audited party

No stipulation.

2.7.4. Topics covered by audit

No stipulation.

2.7.5. Actions taken as a result of deficiency

No stipulation.

2.7.6. Communication of results

No stipulation.

2.8. Confidentiality

2.8.1. Types of information to be kept confidential

All subscribers' information that is not present in the certificate and CRLs issued by a conforming CA is considered confidential and SHALL not be released outside without explicit subscriber's authorization.

2.8.2. Types of information not considered confidential

Information included in public certificates and CRLs issued by a conforming CA are not considered confidential.

2.8.3. Disclosure of certificate revocation/suspension information

When a certificate is revoked/suspended, a reason code is not considered confidential and MAY be shared with all other users and relying parties. However, no other details concerning the revocation are normally disclosed.

2.8.4. Release to law enforcement officials

A conforming CA MUST NOT disclose confidential information to any third party, except when required by law enforcement officials that exhibit regular warrant.

2.8.5. Release as part of civil discovery

No stipulation.

2.8.6. Disclosure upon owner's request

The CA SHALL release information if authorized by the subscriber.

2.8.7. Other information release circumstances

No stipulation.

2.9. Intellectual Property Rights

A conforming CA MUST NOT claim any intellectual property rights on issued certificates.

3. IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION

3.1. Initial Registration

3.1.1. Types of names

A conforming CA assigns each entity a X.501 Distinguished Name (DN, see [X.501](#)) which serves as a unique identifier of the entity. The DN is inserted in the subject field of the certificate(s) issued to the entity to bind the entity to the certificate(s). The DN MUST be a non-empty `printableString`.

The certificate DN SHOULD be constructed using following naming attributes:

3.1.1.1. *organizationName* (O)

OID. 2.5.4.10

Necessity. Optional.

For personal certificates, this is the official name of the institution the subscriber is affiliated with. For server certificates, it is the official name of the institution operating the server.

3.1.1.2. *commonName* (CN)

OID. OID 2.5.4.3

Necessity. Mandatory.

For personal certificates, this SHOULD be the full name of the person as stated in the proof-of-identity documents, with any diacritical marks removed.

For server certificates, it SHOULD contain the fully qualified domain name of the server.

3.1.2. Need for names to be meaningful

A conforming SHALL be able to identify the entities associated with subject and issuer names contained in the certificates.

3.1.3. Rules for interpreting various name forms

See [Section 3.1.1](#)

3.1.4. Uniqueness of names

Every name assigned by a conforming CA SHALL be associated with exactly one entity.

3.1.5. Name claim dispute resolution procedure

No stipulation.

3.1.6. Recognition, authentication and role of trademarks

No stipulation.

3.1.7. Method to prove possession of private key

The requester is required to prove possession of the private key which corresponds to the public key in the certificate request before signing.

The method used to prove possession of private key **MUST** be detailed in the CPS.

3.1.8. Authentication of organization identity

When a subscriber requires the inclusion of the name of a certain organization into a certificate he or she **MUST** provide a written statement of affiliation signed by the representatives of the organization.

3.1.9. Authentication of individual identity

The RA **MUST** personally authenticate any requester asking a personal certificate, using officially recognized identity card containing a photograph.

If the entity to be certified is a software or hardware component the requester **MUST** prove that he has the necessary authorization.

3.2. Routine Rekey

After certificate expiration, the CA **MUST NOT** issue a new certificate for the same key. The CA **MAY** issue a new certificate for a new key. The rekey authentication **MAY** be accomplished with the same procedure indicated in [Section 3.1](#) for initial registration or using requests digitally signed with the old certificate. These requests **MUST** be sent to the CA before the old certificate expiration.

3.3. Rekey after Revocation

A public key whose certificate has been revoked for private key compromise **MUST NOT** be re-certified. The public key **MAY** be re-certified if the revocation is only due to certificate suspension. In the latter case the rekey authentication **MAY** be accomplished with the same procedure indicated in [Section 3.1](#) for initial registration or using digitally signed requests. These requests **MUST** be sent to the CA before certificate expiration.

3.4. Revocation Request

A proper authentication method is required in order to accept revocation request. The CA **MUST** accept as a revocation request a message digitally signed with a not expired and not previously revoked certificate issued under this policy. The same procedures adopted for the authentication during initial registration are also considered suitable. Alternative procedures **MAY** be supported such as secure communication of a revocation passphrases.

The exact procedures supported **MUST** be detailed in the CPS.

4. OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS

4.1. Certificate Application

An entity generates its own key pair and submit public key and other required data to the CA. After that the request MUST carefully follow the procedures detailed in this policy and in the CPS for identification and authentication.

4.2. Certificate Issuance

The CA and RA MUST carefully check the compliance and validity of documents presented by the subscribers. After the authentication accomplished by methods specified in [Section 3.1](#), the CA SHOULD issue the certificate. In the case of issuance the CA MUST notify the requester. If for any reasons the CA decides not to issue the certificate (even if the checks and the authentication were correct) it SHOULD notify the reason for this choice to the requester.

4.3. Certificate Acceptance

No stipulation.

4.4. Certificate Suspension and Revocation

4.4.1. Circumstances for revocation

A certificate SHALL be revoked when information in the certificate is known to be suspected or compromised. This include situations where:

- the subscriber's data changed
- the subscriber's private key is compromised or is suspected to have been compromised or lost
- the subscriber's information in the certificate is suspected to be inaccurate
- the subscriber is known to have violated his obligations

4.4.2. Who can request revocation

The CA MUST accept a revocation request made by the holder of the certificate to be revoked. Moreover the revocation request MAY come from the CA that issued the certificate or from associated RA.

Other entities MAY require revocation, presenting evident proof of knowledge of the private key compromise or the change of subscriber's data.

4.4.3. Procedure for revocation request

The entity requesting the revocation SHALL be properly authenticated. The authentication method SHOULD be as strong as the one used in the issuing procedure. Conforming CA MUST accept as a revocation request a message digitally signed with a not expired and not previously revoked certificate issued under this policy. An alternative procedure MAY require the entity to visit RA or CA and to present a viable identity document.

If the entity is a CA, the CA SHALL in addition:

- Inform subscribers and cross-certifying CAs
- Terminate the certificate and CRLs distribution service for certificates/CRLs issued using the compromised private key.

4.4.4. Revocation request grace period

The conforming CA decides what is the amount of time necessary to accept the request.

4.4.5. Circumstances for suspension

A CA MAY temporarily suspend a subscriber s certificate if the subscriber requests that service. Unlike revocation, suspension of a user allows for re-enabling at a later time. In every case conforming CA are not required to offer the suspension service. Information on public keys of disabled users MAY be available from CA repository.

4.4.6. Who can request suspension

In the case that a CA offers the suspension service, CA MUST accept a suspension request made by the holder of the certificate to be suspended.

4.4.7. Procedure for suspension request

The entity requesting the suspension SHALL be properly authenticated. A conforming CA MUST accept as a suspension request a message digitally signed with a not expired and not previously revoked certificate issued under this policy. An alternative procedure MAY require the entity to visit RA or CA and to present a viable identity document.

4.4.8. Limits on suspension period

No stipulation.

4.4.9. CRL issuance frequency (if applicable)

CRL lifetime MUST be at least 7 days and MUST NOT be longer than 30 days. CRLs MUST be reissued at least 7 days before expiration, even if no additional revocations have occurred.

4.4.10. CRL checking requirements

Relying party **MUST** verify a certificate against the most recent CRL issued from conforming CA in order to validate the use of the certificate.

4.4.11. On-line revocation/status checking availability

A conforming CA **MAY** support on-line revocation/status checking. Bearing in mind that this policy requires conforming CA to issue CRL, it is not mandatory to implement on-line revocation/status checking procedures. However this policy suggests taking into consideration OCSP [RFC 2560](#) as such a mechanism.

4.4.12. On-line revocation checking requirements

No stipulation.

4.4.13. Other forms of revocation advertisements available

No stipulation.

4.4.14. Checking requirements for other forms of revocation advertisements

No stipulation.

4.4.15. Special requirements re key compromise

No stipulation.

4.5. Security Audit Procedures

This policy recognizes the importance of security audit procedures suggesting that a conforming CA specifies all this kind of provisions in the CPS.

4.5.1. Types of event recorded

No stipulation.

4.5.2. Frequency of processing log

No stipulation.

4.5.3. Retention period for audit log

No stipulation.

4.5.4. Protection of audit log

No stipulation.

4.5.5. Audit log backup procedures

No stipulation.

4.5.6. Audit collection system (internal vs external)

No stipulation.

4.5.7. Notification to event-causing subject

No stipulation.

4.5.8. Vulnerability assessments

No stipulation.

4.6. Records Archival

This section specifies the type of events that are recorded for archival purposes from CA and RA and how this collected data are maintained. For further details not explicitly stipulated here the reference is the CPS.

4.6.1. Types of event recorded

A conforming CA SHOULD archive:

- certification requests corresponding to actually issued certificates
- issued certificates
- issued CRLs
- all signed agreements with other parties (e.g. RA)
- documents collected from the subscriber during the enrollment procedure
- all relevant messages exchanged with RA

The RAs SHOULD archive:

- all validation information collected from the subscriber
- all relevant messages exchanged with CA

4.6.2. Retention period for archive

The minimum retention period is 2 years.

4.6.3. Protection of archive

No stipulation.

4.6.4. Archive backup procedures

No stipulation.

4.6.5. Requirements for time-stamping of records

No stipulation.

4.6.6. Archive collection system (internal or external)

No stipulation.

4.6.7. Procedures to obtain and verify archive information

No stipulation.

4.7. Key changeover

No stipulation.

4.8. Compromise and Disaster Recovery

If a CA's private key is compromised or suspected to be compromised, the CA SHALL at least:

- inform subscribers, cross-certifying CAs and relying parties
- terminate the certificates and CRLs distribution service for certificates/CRLs issued using the compromised private key
- request the revocation of the CA's certificate

If a RA's private key is compromised or suspected to be compromised, the RA SHALL at least inform the CA and request the revocation of the RA's certificate

If an entity's private key is compromised or suspected to be compromised, the entity SHALL at least inform the relying parties and request the revocation of the entity's certificate.

4.8.1. Computing resources, software, and/or data are corrupted

No stipulation.

4.8.2. Entity public key is revoked

No stipulation.

4.8.3. Entity key is compromised

No stipulation.

4.8.4. Secure facility after a natural or other type of disaster

No stipulation.

4.9. CA Termination

Termination of a CA is regarded as the situation where all service associated with a logical CA is terminated permanently.

Before the CA terminates its services the following procedures **MUST** be completed as a minimum:

- inform all subscribers, cross certifying CAs, higher level CAs, and relying parties with which the CA has agreements or other form of established relations
- make publicly available information of its termination
- stop distributing certificates and CRLs.

A subordinate CA **MAY** terminate or continue operation as a self-standing CA.

5. PHYSICAL, PROCEDURAL, AND PERSONNEL SECURITY CONTROLS

Security requirements imposed on the conforming CA are indicated in the CPS. In every case this policy states that CA **MUST** be run on a dedicated workstation. The workstation **MUST** be physically secured.

5.1. Physical Controls

5.1.1. Site location and construction

No stipulation.

5.1.2. Physical access

The physical access to the site in which the CA operates **MUST** be restricted only to explicitly authorized people.

5.1.3. Power and air conditioning

No stipulation.

5.1.4. Water exposures

No stipulation.

5.1.5. Fire prevention and protection

No stipulation.

5.1.6. Media storage

No stipulation.

5.1.7. Waste disposal

No stipulation.

5.1.8. Off-site backup

No stipulation.

5.2. Procedural Controls

All the issues related to procedural control like the definition of trusted roles **MUST** be specified in the CPS.

5.2.1. Trusted roles

No stipulation.

5.2.2. Number of persons required per task

No stipulation.

5.2.3. Identification and authentication for each role

No stipulation.

5.3. Personnel Controls

The personnel operating the CA **MUST** be technically and professionally competent. Every conforming CA **SHOULD** specify in the CPS further details concerning this particular topic and the related issues.

5.3.1. Background, qualifications, experience, and clearance requirements

No stipulation.

5.3.2. Background check procedures

No stipulation.

5.3.3. Training requirements

No stipulation.

5.3.4. Retraining frequency and requirements

No stipulation.

5.3.5. Job rotation frequency and sequence

No stipulation.

5.3.6. Sanctions for unauthorized actions

No stipulation.

5.3.7. Contracting personnel requirements

No stipulation.

5.3.8. Documentation supplied to personnel

No stipulation.

6. TECHNICAL SECURITY CONTROLS

6.1. Key Pair Generation and Installation

6.1.1. Key pair generation

Conforming CA's cryptographic keys are generated by the package chosen for certificate handling. End entities cryptographic keys are locally generated by their application during the requesting process.

6.1.2. Private key delivery to entity

The entity **MUST** generate his own key pair.

6.1.3. Public key delivery to certificate issuer

For individual certification, the entity **SHALL** submit a certification request containing the public key, locally generated, to the CA/RA. Every conforming CA **MUST** specify in its CPS the exact procedures for delivering public key. For CA's certification, the subject CA generates the key pair.

6.1.4. CA public key delivery to users

Conforming CA **MUST** provide mechanisms to deliver CA public key to the users in a trustworthy manner. Further details **MUST** be specified in the CPS. In every case CA's public keys **MUST** be publicly available in a repository accessible via standard protocol such as HTTP or LDAP.

6.1.5. Key sizes

The minimum length of the private key of an end entity to be certified **MUST** be decided by the CA issuer and **MUST NOT** be less than the value of 1024 bits. A CA key pair **MUST** have a minimum length of 2048 bits.

6.1.6. Public key parameters generation

No stipulation.

6.1.7. Parameter quality checking

No stipulation.

6.1.8. Hardware/software key generation

The keys can be generated in software or in hardware (e.g. on a cryptodevice) depending on the various tools available to the entities.

6.1.9. Key usage purposes (as per X.509 v3 key usage field)

The purposes for which a key can be used MAY be restricted by a CA through the `keyUsage` extension in the certificate.

This is a field that indicates the purpose for which the certified public key is used. Certificates issued under this policy MUST have the `keyUsage` extension flagged as critical. This means that the certificate SHALL be used only for a purpose for which the corresponding key usage bit is set to one.

6.1.9.1. CA certificates

In CA's certificates the `keyUsage` extension SHOULD contain the following bits set to one:

```
digitalSignature
nonRepudiation
keyCertSign
cRLSign
```

It MAY contain also other bits set to one.

6.1.9.2. User certificates

In personal (user) certificates the `keyUsage` extension SHOULD contain the following bits set to one:

- `digitalSignature`
- `keyEncipherment`
- `nonReputation`
- `dataEncipherment`

6.1.9.3. Server certificates

In server (application, service) certificates the `keyUsage` extension SHOULD contain the following bits set to one:

- `digitalSignature`
- `nonReputation`

6.2. Private Key Protection

6.2.1. Standards for cryptographic module

This policy does not mandate the adoption of cryptographic module compliant with pre-determined standards. Every conforming CA MAY give in the CPS more details about the adoption of standard compliant module.

6.2.2. Private key (n out of m) multi-person control

The private key of individual MUST NOT be under (n out of m) multi-person control. Only private keys belonging to a CA, a hardware component or a software component MAY be under such a control: in this case the type of control MUST be specified in the CPS.

6.2.3. Private key escrow

This policy discourages the implementation of private key escrow policy both for end entities and CA. Implementation of such policies MAY be permitted if and only if the governing law of the country in which the CA is established explicitly requires them.

6.2.4. Private key backup

This policy suggests that all the parties SHOULD maintain a backup copy of the private key in order to reconstitute it in case of destruction of the key. This backup MUST be carefully protected especially in the case of backup of private key CA.

6.2.5. Private key archival

This policy suggests the implementation of a procedure for private key archival only for private key used for encryption/decryption. Indeed it MAY be necessary to maintain a copy of a private key in order to correctly decrypt messages even if the corresponding public-key certificate is expired.

6.2.6. Private key entry into cryptographic module

The private key of all entities SHOULD be stored in an encrypted form. This provision is particularly important if the entity is a CA.

6.2.7. Method of activating private key

Specific details about how to activate private key SHOULD be found in the CPS. As a general suggestion this policy recommends that for the activation of a private key some specific activation data MUST be entered in the cryptographic module. At least the activation data MUST consist in a PIN or passphrase, but for the most valuable private key (e.g. the ones belonging to CA) the use of hardware tokens or biometrics data is suggested.

6.2.8. Method of deactivating private key

No stipulation.

6.2.9. Method of destroying private key

No stipulation.

6.3. Other Aspects of Key Pair Management

6.3.1. Public key archival

A conforming CA **MUST** archive all issued certificates. Mechanisms to provide integrity controls other than digital signatures **MAY** be implemented.

6.3.2. Usage periods for the public and private keys

6.3.2.1. CA key pair

The validity period of a conforming CA's key pair **MUST NOT** extend 5 years.

6.3.2.2. End entity key pair

The validity period of an end entity key pair **MUST NOT** extend one year.

6.4. Activation Data

6.4.1. Activation data generation and installation

Pass phrases or PINs **SHALL** be selected according to "best practice". This means that it is necessary to suggest a suitable minimal length for the pass phrases and to enforce mechanisms to check that pass phrases show enough entropy.

6.4.2. Activation data protection

Pass phrases protecting private keys **SHALL** be accessible only to the legitimate users (e.g. certificate holder for personal certificates, CA operators for CA signing keys, etc). An exception for this indication is the implementation of a secure archival/backup mechanism for activation data. Such a mechanism **MUST** be clearly defined in the CPS.

6.4.3. Other aspects of activation data

No stipulation

6.5. Computer Security Controls

6.5.1. Specific computer security technical requirements

No stipulation.

6.5.2. Computer security rating

No stipulation.

6.6. Life Cycle Technical Controls

6.6.1. System development controls

No stipulation.

6.6.2. Security management controls

No stipulation.

6.6.3. Life cycle security ratings

No stipulation.

6.7. Network Security Controls

This policy strongly suggests that the machine on which the cryptographic module used for CA operations SHOULD be kept off-line to prevent network attacks. In every case network access to the CA workstation MUST be limited in order to protect the CA's private key in an appropriate way from disclosure.

6.8. Cryptographic Module Engineering Controls

No stipulation.

7. CERTIFICATE AND CRL PROFILES

7.1. Certificate Profile

In order to promote interoperability this policy strongly encourages conforming CA to issue certificates profiling them accordingly to [RFC 2459](#). In every case CPS MUST detail the specific profile adopted.

7.1.1. Version number(s)

The `version` field in the certificate SHALL state 2, indicating X.509v3 certificates.

7.1.2. Certificate extensions

In compliance with [RFC 2459](#), the inclusion of the following certificate extensions is RECOMMENDED:

<code>subjectKeyIdentifier</code>	NOT CRITICAL
<code>authorityKeyIdentifier</code>	NOT CRITICAL
<code>basicConstraints</code>	CRITICAL
<code>keyUsage</code>	CRITICAL
<code>certificatePolicies</code>	NOT CRITICAL

The use of other two extensions is also RECOMMENDED: `cRLDistributionPoint` for providing information useful to retrieve the CRL, and `subjectAltNames` when there is the need to include an RFC822 e-mail address or a DNS host name to a certificate. Both these two extensions SHOULD be marked as NOT CRITICAL.

7.1.3. Algorithm object identifiers

No stipulation.

7.1.4. Name forms

All related issues MUST be specified in the CPS.

7.1.5. Name constraints

All related issues MUST be specified in the CPS.

7.1.6. Certificate policy Object Identifier

Other certificate policy object identifiers are applicable if and only if the other policies identified are compliant with this policy. Conforming CA **MUST** contact the maintainers of the various policies to verify the level of mutual compliance. However in order to promote interoperability, following RFC 2459, this policy suggests to include only one certificate policy object identifier in a certificate.

7.1.7. Usage of Policy Constraints extension

All related issues **MUST** be specified in the CPS.

7.1.8. Policy qualifiers syntax and semantics

The Certificate Policies extension field has a provision for conveying, along with each certificate policy identifier, additional policy-dependent information in a qualifier field. The certificates issued under this CP **SHOULD NOT** use the policy qualifiers.

7.1.9. Processing semantics for the critical certificate policy extension

No stipulation

7.2. CRL Profile

7.2.1. Version number(s)

The `version` field in the certificate **SHALL** be omitted, indicating X.509v1 CRL.

7.2.2. CRL and CRL entry extensions

Not applicable.

8. SPECIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

8.1. Specification change procedures

Editorial changes can be made to the policy and CPS. In case of substantial changes of the policy all CAs and users *SHALL* be notified in advance. Moreover CAs *SHALL* update the policy in accordance with the policy changes.

Policy changes that imply minor technical adjustments *SHALL* be notified in advance.

8.2. Publication and notification policies

This policy is available at the URI: <http://www.cesnet.cz/pki/CP/Basic.html>.

8.3. CPS approval procedures

No stipulation

Glossary

Certification Authority (CA)

An authority trusted by one or more users to create and assign public key certificates. Optionally the CA may create the user's keys. It is important to note that the CA is responsible for the public key certificates during their whole lifetime, not just for issuing them.

CA-certificate

A certificate for one CA's public key issued by another CA.

Certificate policy

A named set of rules that indicates the applicability of a certificate to a particular community and/or class of application with common security requirements. For example, a particular certificate policy might indicate applicability of a type of certificate to the authentication of electronic data interchange transactions for the trading of goods within a given price range.

Certificate subject

The entity (person, organization, or server) whose public key is certified in the certificate.

Certification path

An ordered sequence of certificates which, together with the public key of the initial object in the path, can be processed to obtain that of the final object in the path.

Certification Practice Statement

A statement of the practices which a certification authority employs in issuing certificates.

Certificate revocation list

A CRL is a time stamped list identifying revoked certificates which is signed by a CA and made freely available in a public repository.

End entity

A person or resource that needs to have their public key certified.

Entity

Any autonomous element within the Public Key Infrastructure. This may be a CA, an RA, or an End-Entity.

Issuing certification authority

In the context of a particular certificate, the issuing CA is the CA that issued the certificate (see also [Subject certification authority](#)).

Public Key Certificate

A data structure containing the public key of an end entity and some other information, which is digitally signed with the private key of the CA which issued it.

Registration authority

An entity that is responsible for identification and authentication of certificate subjects, but that does not sign or issue certificates (i.e., an RA is delegated certain tasks on behalf of a CA).

Relying party

A recipient of a certificate who acts in reliance on that certificate and/or digital signatures verified using that certificate. In this document, the terms “certificate user” and “relying party” are used interchangeably.

Subject certification authority

In the context of a particular CA-certificate, the subject CA is the CA whose public key is certified in the certificate

Subscriber

In the case of certificates issued to resources (such as web servers), the person responsible for the certificate for that resource. For certificates issued to individuals, same as certificate subject.

A. Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels

According to [RFC 2119](#) Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels , we specify how the main keywords used in RFCs should be interpreted. Authors who follow these guidelines should incorporate this phrase near the beginning of their document:

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#).

1. **MUST.** This word, or the terms "REQUIRED" or "SHALL", mean that the definition is an absolute requirement of the specification.
2. **MUST NOT.** This phrase, or the phrase "SHALL NOT", mean that the definition is an absolute prohibition of the specification.
3. **SHOULD.** This word, or the adjective "RECOMMENDED", mean that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore a particular item, but the full implications must be understood and carefully weighed before choosing a different course.
4. **SHOULD NOT.** This phrase, or the phrase "NOT RECOMMENDED" mean that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances when the particular behavior is acceptable or even useful, but the full implications should be understood and the case carefully weighed before implementing any behavior described with this label.
5. **MAY.** This word, or the adjective "OPTIONAL", mean that an item is truly optional. One vendor may choose to include the item because a particular marketplace requires it or because the vendor feels that it enhances the product while another vendor may omit the same item. An implementation which does not include a particular option **MUST** be prepared to interoperate with another implementation which does include the option, though perhaps with reduced functionality. In the same vein an implementation which does include a particular option **MUST** be prepared to interoperate with another implementation which does not include the option (except, of course, for the feature the option provides.)

Bibliography

- [EuroPKI] *EuroPKI Certificate Policy: VERSION 1.1 (DRAFT 4) October 2000*
<http://www.europki.org/ca/root/>
- [RFC 2119] RFC 2119 *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels March 1997*
- [RFC 2459] RFC 2459 *Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure: Certificate and CRL Profile January 1999*
- [RFC 2527] RFC 2527 *Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure: Certificate Policy and Certification Practices Framework March 1999*
- [RFC 2560] RFC 2560 *X.509 Internet Public Key Infrastructure: Online Certificate Status Protocol - OCSP June 1999*
- [X.501] *ITU-T Recommendation X.501 - Information technology - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory: Models*